IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

WEDNESDAY, THE 28TH MARCH 2007 / 7TH CHAITHRA 1929

RP.No. 325 of 2007(Y)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN OP.28345/2002 Dated 14/07/2006
.....

: REVIEW PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS 1 & 2
---

1. THE CENTRAL PROVIDENT FUND COMMISSIONER,
EMPLOYEES' PROVIDENT FUND ORGANISATION,
MINISTRY OF LABOUR, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
NEW DELHI.

2. THE REGIONAL PROVIDENT FUND
COMMISSIONER (C & R), EMPLOYEES' PROVIDENT FUND
ORGANISATION, MINISTRY OF LABOUR,
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, REGIONAL OFFICE,
BHAVISHYA NIDHI BHAVAN, PATTOM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.N.N. SUGUNAPALAN, SC, P.F.
SMT.T.N.GIRIJA, SC,EPF ORGANISATION

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONER

KERALA GANDHI SMARAK NIDHI,
THYCAUD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, REPRESENTED BY
THE ADMINISTRATOR.

ADV.SRI.K.B.PRADEEP.

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 28/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

KURIAN JOSEPH, J.

R.P.No.325/07 in O.P. No.28345/02

Dated 28th March, 2007.

O R D E R

C.M.Application No.152/07 : Delay condoned.

Sri.K.B.Pradeep takes notice.

2. Apparently, the only clarification required is that the action pursuant to the judgment sought to be reviewed is to be taken by the Central Board of Trustees and not by the Government of India. The judgment is clarified accordingly. The writ petitioner will make available a copy of Ext.P5 before the Central Board of Trustees within one month from today, along with a copy of the judgment and a copy of this order, and thereafter, the Central Board of Trustees will take appropriate action expeditiously. Needless to say that the reliefs otherwise granted in the judgment will continue.

The review petition is allowed as above.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

tgs

KURIAN JOSEPH, J

O.P.No. of 2002

J U D G M E N T

Dated 28th March, 2007.